

**NORTH BEACH WATER DISTRICT  
PACIFIC COUNTY, WASHINGTON**

**RESOLUTION NO. 30-2016**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE NORTH BEACH WATER DISTRICT OF  
PACIFIC COUNTY, WASHINGTON, ADOPTING A DETERMINATION  
OF NONSIGNIFICANCE FOR THE LOOMIS LAKE WATER MAIN  
CROSSING.**

**WHEREAS**, the District, on September 21, 2009, adopted Resolution 23-2009 authorizing Agency SEPA Procedures; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to WAC 197-11-050 and WAC 197-11-926 the District is designated as the lead agency with main responsibility for complying with SEPA procedural requirements; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to Chapter 197-11 WAC and District policy, an environmental checklist (Checklist), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A", was completed for the Loomis Lake Water Main Crossing Project (LLWMCP); and

**WHEREAS**, the General Manager, acting as the Lead Agency's Responsible Official, after reviewing the completed Checklist and other information on file with the District and determining that the LLWMCP does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment and that an environmental impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c), prepared a Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "B"; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to WAC 197-11-340 the General Manager caused the DNS and Checklist to be distributed to The Department of Ecology, Pacific County, The Department of Health, and the Department of Natural Resources for which a copy of an affidavit of mailing is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "C", and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to WAC 197-11-340 the General Manager caused a Notice of Public Hearing to be published in the Chinook Observer for the week of Wednesday, November 02, 2016 and Wednesday, November 09, 2016, for which an affidavit of publication is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "D"; and

**WHEREAS**, the District took public comments from Monday, November 07, 2016 through Monday, November 21, 2016 on which date a public hearing was held and public comment, if any, was taken.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE NORTH BEACH WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DOES HEREBY RESOLVE THAT**, by the Board of Commissioners of North Beach Water District, Pacific County, Washington, acting as the Lead Agency, hereby adopts all of the above recitals and has also determined that the LLWMCP does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment and that an (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after a review of the Attachments to this Resolution and other information on file with the District.

**ADOPTED** by the Board of Commissioners on North Beach Water District, Pacific County, Washington at its regular meeting held on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of November, 2016.

---

**Brian Sheldon, Commissioner  
Position #1**

---

**Gwen Brake, Commissioner  
Position #2**

---

**Glenn Ripley, Commissioner  
Position #3**

## SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

### ***Purpose of checklist:***

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

### ***Instructions for applicants:***

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

### ***Instructions for Lead Agencies:***

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

### ***Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:*** [\[help\]](#)

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

## **A. Background**

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Loomis Lake Water Main Crossing

2. Name of applicant:

North Beach Water District

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

William Neal, General Manager  
North Beach Water District  
Po Box 618  
Ocean Park, Washington 98640  
(360) 665-4144

4. Date checklist prepared:

October 2016

5. Agency requesting checklist:

North Beach Water District

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

April 2016 (Pending approvals from Department of Natural Resources)

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

None

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

None Known

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Joint Aquatic Resources Permit Application (JARPA) for DNR Aquatic Use Authorization  
Hydraulic Permit Application (WDFW)  
Engineering Report and Construction Document Approval (DOH Drinking Water Division)

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The project includes installation of 8" water main beneath Loomis lake to provide a loop in the water distribution system to improve hydraulic conditions in the immediate vicinity of the project. The water main will be installed beneath the lake bottom using horizontal directional drilling methods. There is no anticipated work to be performed below the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM). Water main will also be installed within existing right of way and easements to connect the lake crossing pipe to the existing North Beach Water District distribution system. The total length of piping installed to complete the loop is approximately 2,500 feet.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The 8" water main loop will run east west from intersection of Birch Place and Birch Lane, through the Sunset Sands Community Club Park, beneath Loomis Lake and then to the intersection of 201<sup>st</sup> Street and T Street.

## **B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

### **1. Earth**

a. General description of the site:

(bold/underline one): **Flat**, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other \_\_\_\_\_

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

3%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Generally Dune Sand overlain by shallow organic soil.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Water main above the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) will be installed by conventional trenching methods. Water main below the OHWM of Loomis Lake will be installed with horizontal directional drilling. No net filling and/or grading will occur.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Clearing in preparation for trenching, stockpiling, and backfill operations may expose soils to wind and water erosion if left unattended for an extended period. Construction practices will follow best management practices (BMPs) for erosion and sediment control from the Western Washington Stormwater Management Manual, which will include silt fencing in sensitive areas.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

No new impervious surfaces will be created.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:  
Construction practices will follow the best management practices from the Western Washington Stormwater Management Manual and will include silt fencing in sensitive areas.

## 2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Anticipated short-term air emissions include dust and vehicle and equipment exhaust during construction only.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

NONE

## 3. Water

- a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Loomis is located in the project area. The water main will be installed at least 10' below the lake bed using horizontal directional drilling methods. No work is planned within the OHWM.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

The water main will be installed at least 10' below the lake bed using horizontal directional drilling methods. No work is planned within the OHWM.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

None

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No. The lake crossing pipe will be in the flood plain, but the work to install the new water main will not occur within the flood plain.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

NONE.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

No impervious surfaces will be created.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

#### 4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

Shrubs

Grass

Pasture

Crop or grain

Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

Other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Existing scrub vegetation will be removed in minor amounts to facilitate construction.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

None.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

None determined

## 5. Animals

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

Not applicable.

Birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

Mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

Fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other;

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

WDFW Priority Habitats and Species Report indicates that the following listed species may be within the project area:

Oregon Silverspot (threatened/endangered)

Trumpeter Swan (PHS listed)

Tundra Swan (PHS listed)

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

None known

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

NONE. PROJECT IS NOT EXPECTED TO HAVE AN EFFECT ON WILDLIFE.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

None known

## 6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

None.



b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?  
If so, generally describe.

No

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?  
List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A

## 7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?  
If so, describe.

No

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.  
None Known

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.  
N/A

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.  
None

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.  
None

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:  
None needed

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?  
None

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.  
Short term noise is expected from construction activities during normal working hours.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:  
None needed

## 8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.  
Current use is residential and recreation. No effect.
- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?  
N/A
- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:  
N/A
- c. Describe any structures on the site.  
Sunset Sands Community Park Buildings
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?  
No
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?  
Residential
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?  
Residential and recreational
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?  
N/A
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.  
No
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?  
N/A
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?  
None
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:  
N/A
- L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:  
Project is the installation of a drinking water utility pipe that serves the current use in the area.
- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:  
N/A

## 9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.  
None
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.  
None
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:  
N/A

## 10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?  
N/A
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?  
None
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:  
No aesthetic impacts are anticipated.

## 11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?  
N/A
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?  
N/A
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?  
None
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:  
N/A

## 12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?  
This project provides utility service for a community park area.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.  
No, the water main will support recreational uses.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:  
None

### 13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers ? If so, specifically describe.

None Known

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

None Known

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

N/A

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

N/A

### 14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

State Highway 103 provides local access to the site.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Yes

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

N/A

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

NONE

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would

be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

None.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

N/A

**15. Public Services**

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

N/A

**16. Utilities**

a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

- electricity
- natural gas
- water
- refuse service
- sanitary service
- septic system
- other:

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

The project upgrades the water utilities for the area. The water service provider is the North Beach Water District

**C. Signature**

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of signee \_\_\_\_\_

Position and Agency/Organization \_\_\_\_\_

Date Submitted: \_\_\_\_\_



**EXHIBIT "B"**

**DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE (DNS)**

**Description of proposal:**

Improvements include construction of an 8" water main beneath Loomis Lake to provide a loop in the water distribution system to improve hydraulic conditions in the immediate vicinity of the project. The water main will be installed beneath the lake bottom using horizontal directional drilling methods. There is no anticipated work to be performed below the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM). Water main will also be installed within existing right of way and easements to connect the lake crossing pipe to the existing North Beach Water District distribution system. The total length of piping installed to complete the loop is approximately 2,500 feet of which, approximately 680 feet will be beneath Loomis Lake.

**Proponent/Applicant:**

North Beach Water District  
William Neal, General Manager  
2212 272<sup>nd</sup> Avenue  
PO Box 618  
Ocean Park, WA 98640  
360.665.4144

**Location of proposal, including street address, if any:**

The 8" water main loop will run east west from intersection of Birch Place and Birch Lane, through the Sunset Sands Community Club Park, beneath Loomis Lake and then to the intersection of 201st Street and T Street.

**Lead Agency:**

North Beach Water District

NBWD has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. Therefore Washington State law<sup>i</sup> does not require an environmental impact statement (EIS). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental check list

and other information on file with NBWD. This information is available to the public on request.

NBWD issued this DNS according to state rules<sup>ii</sup> NBWD will **not act on this proposal for 14 days** from the date we issue the DNS. Agencies, affected tribes, and members of the public are invited to comment on this proposal or DNS. We must receive your comments within 14 days of the date of this letter. This means we must receive your comments by Tuesday, November 15, 2016.

**Method of Comment:**

The following procedures shall govern the method of comment on NBWD SEPA proposals. Comments received through these procedures are part of the official SEPA record for this proposal.

You can submit your comments by any of the following ways:

- o Email to [bneal@northbeachwater.com](mailto:bneal@northbeachwater.com)
- o Fax to 360.665.4641
- o USPS to:
  - PO Box 618
  - Ocean Park, WA 98640
- o UPS, FedEx, or hand deliver to:
  - 2212 272<sup>nd</sup> Avenue
  - Ocean Park, WA 98640

**Responsible Official:**

William Neal

**Position/ Title:**

General Manager

**Address:**

PO Box 618 Ocean Park, WA 98640

After the comment period closes, applicants may view the updated status of the proposal on the NBWD website: [www.northbeachwater.com](http://www.northbeachwater.com). Once the status is posted as final, applicants and permittees may take action on the proposal. When a proposal is modified or withdrawn, notices will be given in accordance with state law.

If you have any questions about this DNS or the details of the proposal, contact William Neal at the address, e-mail, or fax number above.

**Date of Issue:** October 31, 2016

**Signature:**

---

<sup>i</sup> RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c)

<sup>ii</sup> WAC 197-11-340(2)



**EXHIBIT "C"**

**AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING**

I, Jack McCarty, am now and at all times herein mentioned have been over the age of eighteen years, employed in by North Beach Water District in Pacific County, Washington; that the District's business address is 2212 272<sup>nd</sup> Avenue Ocean Park, WA 98640. As Office Manager, I am readily familiar with North Beach Water District's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I served a COPY OF THE ATTACHED Notice of Determination of Nonsignificance and Public Hearing (Notice) by mailing said copy to the addresses on the attached Mailing List (List). The List beaning provided to me by North Beach Water District's General Manager.

Each Notice was then placed in an envelope properly addressed to each recipient on the List and affixed with postage fully prepaid thereon, on [Click here to enter a date](#) . Said correspondence was deposited with the United States Postal Service at Ocean Park, Washington, Post Office located at 25502 Vernon Avenue Ocean Park, WA 98640 on the above referenced date before noon.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this affidavit was executed on [Click here to enter a date](#) at Ocean Park, Washington.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Jack McCarty,  
Office Manager  
North Beach Water District  
PO Box 618  
2212 272<sup>nd</sup> Avenue  
Ocean Park, WA 98640

**Department of Health**

Kelly Cooper  
PO Box 47820  
Olympia, WA 98504-7820  
(360) 236-3012  
fax (360) 664-8150  
[Kelly.Cooper@doh.wa.gov](mailto:Kelly.Cooper@doh.wa.gov)

**Department of Ecology**

Environmental Review  
PO Box 47703  
Olympia, WA 98504-7703  
(360) 407-6922  
fax (360) 407-6904  
[sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov)

**Pacific County**

Tim Crose, Director  
318 North Second St.  
Long Beach, WA 98631  
(360) 642-9382  
Cell (360)589-3374  
fax (360) 642-9387  
[tcrose@co.pacific.wa.us](mailto:tcrose@co.pacific.wa.us)

**Department of Natural Resources**

Aquatic Resource Division/Rivers District  
PO Box 280  
601 Bond Road  
Castle Rock, WA 98611  
(360) 577-2025  
[pacific-cascade.region@dnr.wa.gov](mailto:pacific-cascade.region@dnr.wa.gov)

EXHIBIT "D"



CHINOOK



OBSERVER

North Beach Water District

Account Number 1923

PO Box 618

Invoice Date 11/9/16

Ocean Park

WA 98640

Invoice Number SP2269

Ad Number 314-16  
Rate Type Legal In-County

Description

Notice of Determination of Nonsignificance Loomis Lake Water Main Crossing

Run Date	Columns	Inchs	Total Size	Cost
11/2/16	2	X	3 = 6	\$52.62
11/9/16				

\$46.74 All Other Weeks

\$99.36 Total Due

**AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION**


I, Mathew Winters, being first duly sworn, depose and say that I am the Editor of the Chinook Observer, say that the

**CHINOOK OBSERVER**

A weekly newspaper printed in the English language and published and circulated continuously as a weekly newspaper in the City of Long Beach, Pacific County, Washington, and established, published and circulated for more than six months prior to the date of the publication of the annexed notice; is a newspaper of general circulation in said city, county and state and that on April 27th, 1942, was approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of said Pacific County, and that the annexed notice is a true copy of a


**LEGAL NOTICE**

As it was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper (and not in supplement form) **in 2 issue(s) Nov. 2, & Nov. 9, 2016** and that said newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period.



Subscribed and sworn to before me this

9th day of November, 2016



Marlene E. Quillin  
Notary Public for the State of Washington,  
Residing in Ilwaco, Washington, in Pacific County.  
My commission expires Dec. 20, 2019


**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE**

North Beach Water District issued a determination of non-significance (DNS) under the State Environmental Policy Act Rules (Chapter 197-11 WAC) for the following project: Loomis Lake Water Main Crossing.

After a review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the District, North Beach Water District has determined this proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. Copies of the DNS are available at no charge from North Beach Water District 2212 272nd Avenue, PO Box 618 Ocean Park, WA 98640 360.665.4144. Copies of the DNS may be downloaded from the District's website at [www.northbeachwater.com](http://www.northbeachwater.com).

The public is invited to comment on this DNS by submitting written comments no later than Tuesday, November 15, 2016 to William Neal at PO Box 618 Ocean Park, WA 98640 or by public comment at a public hearing to be held on 6:00 PM Monday, November 21, 2016 at 2212 272nd Avenue Ocean Park, WA 98640.

Published Nov. 2 and Nov. 9, 2016  
Legal No. 314-16



**AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION**

I, Mathew Winters, being first duly sworn, depose and say that I am the Editor of the Chinook Observer, say that the

**CHINOOK OBSERVER**

A weekly newspaper printed in the English language and published and circulated continuously as a weekly newspaper in the City of Long Beach, Pacific County, Washington, and established, published and circulated for more than six months prior to the date of the publication of the annexed notice; is a newspaper of general circulation in said city, county and state and that on April 27th, 1942, was approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of said Pacific County, and that the annexed notice is a true copy of a

**LEGAL NOTICE**

As it was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper (and not in supplement form) **in 2 issue(s) Nov. 2, & Nov. 9, 2016** and that said newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period.

*Mathew Winters*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

9th day of November, 2016

*Marlene E. Quillin*

Marlene E. Quillin  
Notary Public for the State of Washington,  
Residing in Ilwaco, Washington, in Pacific County.  
My commission expires Dec. 20, 2019

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION  
OF NONSIGNIFICANCE**

North Beach Water District issued a determination of non-significance (DNS) under the State Environmental Policy Act Rules (Chapter 197-11 WAC) for the following project: Loomis Lake Water Main Crossing.

After a review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the District, North Beach Water District has determined this proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment.

Copies of the DNS are available at no charge from North Beach Water District 2212 272nd Avenue, PO Box 618 Ocean Park, WA 98640 360.665.4144. Copies of the DNS may be downloaded from the District's website at [www.northbeachwater.com](http://www.northbeachwater.com).

The public is invited to comment on this DNS by submitting written comments no later than Tuesday, November 15, 2016 to William Neal at PO Box 618 Ocean Park, WA 98640 or by public comment at a public hearing to be held on 6:00 PM Monday, November 21, 2016 at 2212 272nd Avenue Ocean Park, WA 98640.

Published Nov. 2 and Nov. 9, 2016  
Legal No. 314-16

